Ab initio calculations of elastic properties of compressed Pt
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First-principles calculations of the equation of state and single-crystal elastic constants of platinum have been carried out to 650 GPa using density-functional theory (DFT). The present equation of state deduced at 300 K agrees very well with the earlier computational results. The zero-pressure bulk modulus and its pressure derivative obtained in this study are in better agreement with the measured values than those from the earlier calculations. A comparison of the electronic energies indicates that the face-centered-cubic phase is more stable than the hexagonal-close-packed and body-centered-cubic phases up to at least 650 GPa. The values of the zero-pressure single-crystal elastic constants are also close to the experimental values. We also present the high-pressure electronic and vibrational densities of states, as well as the thermal contributions to the free energy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Despite its low compressibility, elemental platinum has been used extensively as a pressure standard in high-pressure x-ray diffraction experiments with diamond anvil cells, mainly because of high scattering power for x rays, stability of the ambient-pressure face-centered-cubic (fcc) phase to high pressures, and chemical inertness.1−4 Further, its ability to absorb infrared radiation makes it an ideal absorber in laser-heated experiments. The equation of state (EOS) of platinum to 660 GPa based on experimental data from a two-stage light gas gun combined with a first-principles theoretical treatment has been used for the pressure estimation.5 These data are in good agreement with the 300-K isotherm derived from the shock Hugoniot obtained in an earlier measurement.6 The thermal EOS of platinum has been investigated more recently from first-principles calculations using the full-potential linear muffin-tin orbital density-functional theory (DFT) method combined with a pair-potential-based-mean-field approximation to the thermal free energy.7 Although the EOSs proposed by different investigators agree well, the reliability of the platinum pressure scale has been questioned by Stacey and Davis.8

The parameter “pressure” in a first-principles calculation of the EOS refers to hydrostatic pressure. The stress states of the sample and pressure standard tend to deviate from hydrostatic as the pressure in the x-ray diffraction experiments is increased and ultimately become nonhydrostatic when stresses reach the 100-Gpa range. The modeling of the nonhydrostatic stress state and the resulting lattice strains permit interpretation of the diffraction data and extraction of the parameters corresponding to the hydrostatic stress state.9−13 However, the single-crystal elastic constants as a function of pressure are required for the interpretation of the diffraction data obtained under nonhydrostatic condition with the conventional diffraction geometry.13 The high-pressure elasticity data are usually obtained using the experimental values of the ambient-pressure elastic constants and their pressure derivatives in the Birch extrapolation formula.14 Experimental studies of the elasticity of platinum are limited to measurements of the three single-crystal elastic constants at ambient pressure16,12 and the pressure derivative of only C_{44}.17 An x-ray diffraction study of platinum under nonhydrostatic compression deals with strength and rheological behavior.18 Without complete information on the pressure derivatives of all the elastic constants, the role of platinum as a standard for precise pressure measurements will remain restricted.

In this paper, we employ a pseudopotential implementation of density-functional theory19 to investigate the relative stabilities of the hexagonal-close-packed (hcp) and the body-centered-cubic (bcc) structures relative to the fcc structure of platinum to over 650 GPa, by comparing the unit cell electronic energy as a function of the volume. The EOS computed in this study is compared with those obtained in earlier calculations. In addition, we generate a complete set of single-crystal elastic constants as a function of volume (pressure) by subjecting the unit cell to suitable deformations and computing the corresponding stress tensors.

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

A. General

Standard DFT calculations provide the total energy E_0(V) considering the atomic nuclei at rest. The analysis of E_0 allows us to calculate structural and elastic properties accurately at low and ambient temperature. This scheme is naturally improved by considering the free energy at finite temperature,

\[ F(V, T) = E_0(V) + F_{\text{phon}}(V, T) + F_{\text{elec}}(V, T), \]

(1)

where the thermal correction to the electronic energy is given by

\[ F_{\text{elec}}(V, T) = - \frac{\pi^2}{6}(k_B T)^2 D(E_F), \]

(2)

k_B being the Boltzmann constant and D(E_F) the density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level. The phonon contribution can be obtained in the quasiharmonic approximation as
The dynamical matrix, we employed a reduced non DOS and vibrational free energy. For the self-consistent first Brillouin zone and interpolated to obtain a smooth phonon DOS, the first Brillouin zone was sampled 25 \times 25 \times 25 for the fcc and bcc structures, and 25 \times 25 \times 15 for hcp. Unphysical oscillations of the DOS due to the discreteness of the k space grid have been eliminated using the first-order Methfessel-Paxton scheme with a smearing parameter of 0.02 Ry. The stress tensor in QUANTUM ESPRESSO codes is calculated based on the expressions derived in Ref. 21. In the present calculation, only the electrons from the atomic shells 5d, 6s, and 6p are considered explicitly. The effect of the core electrons of Pt is included in an ultrasoft Rappe-Rabe-Kaxiras-Joannopoulos pseudopotential available at the QUANTUM ESPRESSO website. This pseudopotential includes scalar relativistic effect in the core electrons. We performed a few tests to establish the accuracy of our calculations, (1) using a norm-conserving pseudopotential, and (2) with full relativistic calculations with an ultrasoft pseudopotential, and found no significant changes in the EOS of fcc Pt.

The phonons and the thermal contribution to the free energy have been calculated in the quasi harmonic approximation using the PHONON code of QUANTUM ESPRESSO. The dynamical matrix was calculated in a 4 \times 4 \times 4 mesh of the first Brillouin zone and interpolated to obtain a smooth phonon DOS and vibrational free energy. For the self-consistent electronic calculations involved in the computation of the dynamical matrix, we employed a reduced k mesh of 15 \times 15 \times 15.

**B. Energy and EOS**

Figure 1 shows the electronic energy of the hcp and bcc phases relative to the stable fcc phase. For all pressures between 0 and 650 GPa, the energy difference is positive and the fcc is the stable phase. The hcp phase comes next in energy, and finally the bcc phase. Figure 2 shows the LDA electronic energy and the free energy at 300 K of the stable fcc phase of Pt. The full and dashed lines are fitted to these data using the following equation derived from the Vinet EOS:

\[
F(V) = F_0 + \frac{9V_0B_0}{\eta}(1 - e^{\eta(1-X)}[1 - \eta(1-X)]),
\]

where

\[
\eta = \frac{3}{2}(B_0' - 1), \quad X = \left(\frac{V}{V_0}\right)^{1/3},
\]

with

\[
B_0' = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial P^2},
\]

and

\[
E_{FV}(V,300K) = E_{FV}(300K) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 E_{V}}{\partial P^2} \left(\frac{V}{V_0}\right)^{1/3}.
\]

**FIG. 1.** Energies per atom of the hcp and bcc phases relative to the fcc energy. The Birch-Murnaghan energy is used.

**FIG. 2.** Electronic energy and free energy vs volume for the stable fcc phase of Pt. Inset: the difference \(F - E_0\); the symbols are calculated points, and the line is the difference of the Vinet EOSs of the main figure.
TABLE I. Values for the bulk modulus $B_0$, its pressure derivative $B'_0$, and the equilibrium volume $V_0$ obtained from LDA calculation fitted with Vinet EOS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experiment at 300 K</th>
<th>Theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B_0$ (GPa)</td>
<td>278$^a$</td>
<td>271.5$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B'_0$</td>
<td>5.61$^a$</td>
<td>5.33$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{exp}$ (Å$^3$)</td>
<td>15.0948$^c$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Pressure fitting range (GPa) | 0–660 | 0–80  |
| Volume fitting range (Å$^3$) | 8.7–15.2 | 12.7–15.2 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit to free energy at 300 K</th>
<th>$B_0$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$B'_0$</th>
<th>$V_0$ (Å$^3$)</th>
<th>$B_0$ ($V_{exp}$)</th>
<th>$B'<em>0$ ($V</em>{exp}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>281</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>15.188</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>15.180</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>5.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit to the electronic energy</th>
<th>$B_0$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$B'_0$</th>
<th>$V_0$ (Å$^3$)</th>
<th>$B_0$ ($V_{exp}$)</th>
<th>$B'<em>0$ ($V</em>{exp}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>293</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>15.073</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>15.060</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reference 5.

$^b$Vinet fit of shock wave data of Ref. 6 in the range 0–80 GPa.

$^c$Reference 25.

LDA equilibrium volume is smaller than the experimental one, as expected. The phonon contribution overcorrects the equilibrium volume. However, the difference between the highest and the lowest theoretical volumes is less than 1%, which is an excellent accuracy. The four fits provide similar bulk moduli and derivatives at the experimental unit cell volume. The bulk modulus at $V_{exp}$ is 13–16 GPa higher than the measured values, which is a good agreement. All the fitted parameters in the present study are closer to the experimental values than those obtained in previous DFT calculations.

In the inset of Fig. 2, the points represent the calculated temperature correction $F(V, T) - E_0(V)$. The continuous line is not fitted to these data, but is the subtraction $F(V, T) - E_0(V)$ using the Vinet EOSs fitted independently to $F(V, T)$ and $E_0(V)$. The excellent agreement shows the ability of the Vinet EOS to fit not only the total energies, but also the differences simultaneously. Moreover, we have verified that the Vinet EOS is superior$^{27}$ to the Birch-Murnaghan EOS in the sense that the fitted values of the equilibrium volume, bulk modulus and its derivative are less dependent on the pressure range (see Table I).

The Vinet pressure-volume$^{24}$ $(PV)$ EOS is given by

$$P = 3B_0 \left(1 - \frac{X}{\chi^2}\right) e^{-\chi(1-X)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

We obtained the $(PV)$ EOS using Eq. (9) with the parameters obtained by fitting Eq. (7). Figure 3 shows the $PV$ diagram for $T = 300$ K, together with the experimental data from McQueen et al.$^5$ and the theoretical calculation of Holmes et al.$^5$ The inset of Fig. 3 shows the phonon contribution to the total pressure, i.e., the difference $\Delta P = -\partial F/\partial V + \partial E_0/\partial V$. It can be seen that our EOS agrees very well with the previous EOSs. Our pressures are slightly higher than those of Holmes et al.$^5$, a fact also noticed and discussed in the recent report by Xiang et al.$^7$ We note that, at 270 GPa, the theoretical EOSs provide pressures that are 10–26 GPa in excess of the experimental pressure. The systematic appearance of this excess pressure suggests that this is the accuracy of the LDA for platinum. The frozen character of the $5s$ and $5p$ core states in the pseudopotential approximation may also contribute to the discrepancy. However, let us note that this discrepancy persists in the full-potential calculation of Xiang et al., where the $5p$ states were treated as semicore states. When used as a standard in x-ray diffraction experiments, the pressure is computed using the measured relative volume $V/V_0$ in the platinum EOS. Hence, for a proper comparison with the Holmes et al. EOS, we renormalized our EOS to have $V_0 = V_{exp}$ and present it with a dashed line in Fig. 3. This improved the agreement between the theoretical EOSs. The pressures computed for a given set of $V/V_0$ using the present EOS and that of Holmes et al.$^5$ differ by an amount that increases from zero to less than 6 GPa in the pressure range 0–650 GPa. The pressures from the EOS of McQueen et al.$^5$ are lower than those computed from the present EOS. The difference reaches 16 GPa at 270 GPa, the highest pressure in the experiment.

From a fundamental point of view, it is interesting to plot the electronic and vibrational DOSs. Figure 4 shows the electronic density of states, the value of which at the Fermi level (taken as zero energy) gives the entropy contribution [Eq. (2)] to the free energy for selected volumes. Figure 5 displays the phonon density of states $g(\omega)$ for selected unit cell volumes.

III. ELASTIC CONSTANTS

The independent elastic stiffness constants in the cubic phase are $C_{11}$, $C_{12}$, and $C_{44}$. They were calculated at every pressure and zero temperature using the definition of the
deformed the unit cell as defined by the lattice vectors. The derivative in Eq.

\[C_{ijkl} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}}{\partial e_{kl}} (i,j,k,l = x,y,z),\]  

(10)

where we follow the usual convention \(C_{11}=C_{xxx},\) \(C_{12}=C_{xyy},\) and \(C_{44}=C_{yyz}.\) For the constants \(C_{11}\) and \(C_{12}\) we deformed the unit cell as defined by the lattice vectors (in Cartesian coordinates)

\[\tilde{a}_1 = a(0,0.5,0.5),\]  

(11)

\[\tilde{a}_2 = a(0.5 + 0.5e_{xx},0,0.5),\]  

(12)

\[\tilde{a}_3 = a(0.5 + 0.5e_{xy},0.5,0).\]  

(13)

The derivative in Eq. (10) was evaluated numerically by making a series of calculations with \(e_{xx} = \{0.00, \pm 0.005, \pm 0.010, \pm 0.015\},\) and fitting the calculated \(\sigma_{xx}\) and \(\sigma_{xy}\) to a quadratic polynomial in \(e_{xx}\) the linear terms of which provide the elastic constants. The value of \(\sigma_{xx}\) at \(e_{xx} = 0\) provides the electronic pressure \(P_0\), which is controlled through the lattice parameter \(a.\)

To obtain \(C_{44}\) we calculated \(\sigma_{xx}\) using the unit cell defined by the lattice vectors

\[\tilde{a}_4 = a(0,0.5,0.5),\]  

(14)

\[\tilde{a}_2 = a(0.5,0.5,0),\]  

(15)

\[\tilde{a}_3 = a(0.5 + \epsilon_{xy},0.5,0).\]  

(16)

The derivative in Eq. (10) was evaluated by the same procedure, using \(\epsilon_{xy} = \{0.00, \pm 0.005, \pm 0.010, \pm 0.015\}\).

For the calculation of the elastic constants, different from the EOS calculation, the electronic free energy and the stress tensor at 300 K were obtained directly from self-consistent calculations using the Fermi-Dirac smearing scheme, and a \(45 \times 45 \times 45\) k-mesh. Phonon contributions and thermal corrections can be obtained from the second derivatives of the phonon free energy. At 300 K, the electronic free energy correction is negligible, and the phonon correction is smaller than the error of the calculation. Moreover, the \(S\) values calculated with this method exhibit noticeable scatter and do not show monotonic variation with pressure. This computational noise was mitigated, but not totally eliminated, using higher cutoffs, denser \(k\) meshes, and more fitting points to obtain the second derivatives of the free energy. Hence, we report in Table II only the elastic moduli calculated from the \(ab\) \(initio\) stresses without the phonon corrections. However, the pressure reported includes the phonon correction, which is important at low pressure.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

The equation of state of platinum to 650 GPa computed from first principles in this study is in excellent agreement
with that derived from shock-wave experiments and earlier first-principles calculations. Excellent agreement among the EOSs proposed by different investigators establishes platinum as a reliable pressure standard. A comparison of electronic energies indicates that the face-centered-cubic phase of platinum is more stable than the hexagonal-close-packed and body-centered-cubic phases to at least 650 GPa. This analysis considers truly hydrostatic pressure and does not rule out the possibility of some other phase being stabilized by shear stress, which is always present in experiments with diamond anvil cells. The computed single-crystal elastic constants at ambient pressure are in good agreement with those obtained from ultrasonic velocity measurements. The present calculations of $C_{44}$ at high pressure agree well with the values derived from the Birch extrapolation formula with measured $C_{44}$ and its pressure derivative at ambient pressure. The anisotropy parameter $(S_{11}-S_{12}-S_{44}/2)$ derived from the computed $C_{ij}$ decreases with increasing pressure and stays positive in the 0–650 GPa pressure range.
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